



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,649	05/01/2006	Toshiaki Asada	127867	1739
25944	7590	06/18/2007	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			CASTRO, ARNOLD	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3747		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		06/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/577,649	ASADA, TOSHIAKI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Arnold Castro	3747

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/30/2007 05/01/2006.
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In paragraph 45 one way clutch is reference s "141" and should be -140--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Applicant has not shown in detail the inter workings of the one way clutch nor detail or explain how the various gears and one-way clutch are laid out.

4. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for reverse rotation prevention, it does not reasonably provide enablement for the starter drive mechanism claimed. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

5. Claims 1-7, and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Choi (US/6,786,212 B1).

Regarding claim 1; Choi discloses an engine starting apparatus comprising: a motor (eg. starter) for transmitting a torque to an engine of a vehicle to rotate the engine; and a motor controlling device for prohibiting rotation of said motor if the engine runs in reverse rotation. See figure 1.

Regarding claims 2-3; The engine starting apparatus further comprising a reverse-rotation detecting device for detecting whether or not the engine runs in the reverse rotation. Column 2 lines 3-12, and 23-25

Regarding claim 4-7, and 9; The engine starting apparatus further comprising a reverse-rotation estimating device for estimating whether or not the engine runs in the reverse rotation having a compression-condition detecting device for detecting a compression condition of a gas in an arbitrary cylinder in the engine and estimates

whether or not the engine runs in the reverse rotation on the basis of the compression condition obtained by a detection result by the compression-condition detecting device and the compression-condition detecting device detects the compression condition of the gas on the basis of a rotation angle of a crankshaft in the arbitrary cylinder. Column lines 1-19

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Choi (US/6,786,212 B1) in view of Suzuki et al. (US/6,637,398,B2)

Choi applies as in claim 1 above but does not expressly detail the start drive mechanism of engine since invention deals with prevention of reverse rotation for internal combustion engine and the specifics of starting mechanism is not critical to invention.

Suzuki et al on the other hand discloses a starting system comprising a transmission mechanism for transmitting a torque from the motor to the engine, wherein the transmission mechanism comprises: a starter gear member (44) including a starter gear and a starter gear shaft ; a driven gear member including a driven gear (69)and a driven gear shaft; an intermediate gear member including an intermediate gear (71)

and an intermediate gear shaft; a crank gear member including a crank gear and a crank gear shaft; and a one-way clutch (72), the starter gear member transmits the torque from the starter motor to the driven gear member, the driven gear member transmits the torque from the starter motor to the intermediate gear (71) member, the intermediate gear member transmits the torque from the driven gear (69) member to the crank gear member, the crank gear member transmits the torque from the intermediate gear (71) member to the engine, and the one-way clutch is placed between the driven gear member and the intermediate gear member.

Since the there is no interrelationship between the starter gearing system and the reverse prevention method or apparatus disclosed or claimed it would have therefore be obvious combining the two above references since they are capable of being used together.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arnold Castro whose telephone number is (571) 272-4839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Wed, Thurs 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen K. Cronin can be reached on 571-272-4536. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AC

AC



STEPHEN K. CRONIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER